arXiv:1204.6397v1 [gr-qc] 28 Apr 2012

On the Fock Transformation in Nonlinear Relativity

A. Bouda* and T. Foughali †
Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Béjaïa,
Campus de Targa Ouazemour, 06000 Béjaïa, Algeria

March 3, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new deformed Poisson brackets which leads to the Fock coordinate transformation by using an analogous procedure as in Deformed Special Relativity. We therefore derive the corresponding momentum transformation which is revealed to be different from previous results. Contrary to the earlier version of Fock's nonlinear relativity for which plane waves cannot be described, our resulting algebra keeps invariant for any coordinate and momentum transformations the four dimensional contraction $p_\mu x^\mu$, allowing therefore to associate plane waves for free particles. As in Deformed Special Relativity, we also derive a canonical transformation with which the new coordinates and momentum satisfy the usual Poisson brackets and therefore transform like the usual Lorentz vectors. Finally, we establish the dispersion relation for Fock's nonlinear relativity.

PACS: 03.30.+p
Key words: Fock's transformation, Poisson's brackets, Momentum transformation, Energy-momentum vector.

*Electronic address: bouda_a@yahoo.fr
†Electronic address: fougto_74@yahoo.fr

1 Introduction

Nonlinear relativity was developed in the two following distinct ways.

1. The first was the Fock coordinate transformation [1], which was built on a ratio of linear functions. At large scale in time, it induces a time-varying speed of light [2] but keeps invariant a length which represents the universe radius. However, this formulation was rejected since it does not allow to describe coherently plane waves for free particles.

2. The second was the Deformed Special Relativity (DSR) which keeps invariant, in addition to the speed of light, a minimal length in the order of the Planck length [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Later it is proven that the resulting transformation can be reproduced in the context of a non commutative space-time by modifying the Poisson brackets [9]. However, this theory has a particular feature concerning the dependence of the coordinate transformation law on the impulsion and energy of the test particle. As suggested in [10], this will induce a dependence on the test particle properties of the electromagnetic field in the high energy domain. This intriguing feature was also discussed in the context of the links established between gravitation and electromagnetism [11].

We indicate that these two theories of nonlinear relativity was developed for completely different motivations. In this paper, we propose a new deformed Poisson brackets which depend upon a parameter R with a dimension of a length and which is revealed to be the one introduced in the Fock transformation, to within a minus sign. As suggested in [2], this parameter may represent the radius of the visible part of the universe. By using an analogous procedure as in DSR, we will show that these Poisson brackets lead to the Fock coordinate transformation, which leaves R invariant. We therefore derive the corresponding momentums transformation and we will see that contrary to what it is asserted in [2], this transformation is different from the Lorentz one unless we take the limit $R \to \infty$. Furthermore, our resulting algebra keeps invariant for any coordinates and momentum transformations between inertial frames the four dimensional product $p_\mu x^\mu$. This allows therefore to associate plane waves for free particles, what is not the case in the previous version of Fock's nonlinear relativity [2, 8]. As in DSR, we establish a canonical transformation with which the new variables obey to the usual Poisson brackets and therefore transform like the components of the usual Lorentz vectors.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the new deformed Poisson brackets and the resulting deformed Lorentz algebra are presented. In section 3, the Fock coordinate transformation is reproduced and the corresponding momentums transformation is established. In section 4, the canonical transformation and the dispersion relation for Fock's nonlinear relativity are derived. Section 5 is devoted to conclusion.

2 The new deformed Poisson brackets

On the basis of the following deformed Poisson brackets ($\mu, \nu, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $i, j, ... = 1, 2, 3$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^i, x^0\} &= \frac{x^i}{\kappa}, & (1)\\
\{x^i, x^j\} &= 0, & (2)\\
\{x^i, p^j\} &= -\eta^{ij}, & (3)\\
\{p^\mu, p^\nu\} &= 0, & (4)\\
\{x^0, p^i\} &= \frac{p^i}{\kappa}, & (5)\\
\{x^i, p^0\} &= 0, & (6)\\
\{x^0, p^0\} &= -1 + \frac{p^0}{\kappa}, & (7)
\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\left(x^\mu \eta^{0\nu} - x^\nu \eta^{\mu 0}\right), & (8)\\
\{p^\mu, p^\nu\} &= 0, & (9)\\
\{x^\mu, p^\nu\} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta^{\mu 0} p^\nu, & (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta^{\mu\nu} = (+1, -1, -1, -1)$ and κ is a parameter identified as the ratio between the Planck energy and the light speed, Ghosh and Pal [9] reproduced the following momentum transformation

$$E' = \frac{\gamma\left(E - up_x\right)}{\alpha_\kappa}, \qquad p'_x = \frac{\gamma\left(p_x - uE/c^2\right)}{\alpha_\kappa}, \qquad p'_y = \frac{p_y}{\alpha_\kappa}, \qquad p'_z = \frac{p_z}{\alpha_\kappa} \quad (11)$$

and coordinate transformation

$$t' = \alpha_\kappa \gamma\left(t - ux/c^2\right), \qquad x' = \alpha_\kappa \gamma\left(x - ut\right), \qquad y' = \alpha_\kappa y, \qquad z' = \alpha_\kappa z \quad (12)$$

already derived in [6, 7, 8] from other considerations. Here u is the translation velocity of the primed frame origin on the x axis of the unprimed one, $\gamma = (1 - u^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\alpha_\kappa = 1 + [(\gamma - 1)E - \gamma u p_x]/c\kappa$. It is easy to check that the energy $E_P = c\kappa$, identified as the Planck energy, is an invariant as it is also the case for the Planck length $l_p = \hbar c/E_P = \hbar/\kappa$. We observe that in the limit $\kappa \to \infty$, the above transformations reduce to the Lorentz ones for the four-momentum and four-coordinate vectors.

Since α_κ depends on E and p_x, we observe that we can not use the notion of event as in special relativity when we deal for example with the transformation coordinates of rod ends. In fact, we are forced to associate to any event a test particle with energy and momentum. Furthermore, the formulation of the electromagnetism within the framework of the DSR will induce a dependence on the test particle properties of the electromagnetic field in the high energy domain [10].

Contrary to the DSR, the Fock coordinate transformation does not depend on the impulsion and energy of the test particle. In the next section, we will

derive it from the following new deformed Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} &= 0, && (13)\\
\{x^i, p^j\} &= -\eta^{ij}, && (14)\\
\{x^i, p^0\} &= \frac{x^i}{R}, && (15)\\
\{p^i, p^j\} &= 0, && (16)\\
\{p^i, p^0\} &= -\frac{p^i}{R}, && (17)\\
\{x^0, p^i\} &= 0, && (18)\\
\{x^0, p^0\} &= -1 + \frac{x^0}{R}, && (19)
\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} &= 0, && (20)\\
\{x^\mu, p^\nu\} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{R}\eta^{0\nu}x^\mu, && (21)\\
\{p^\mu, p^\nu\} &= -\frac{1}{R}\left(p^\mu\eta^{0\nu} - p^\nu\eta^{\mu 0}\right), && (22)
\end{aligned}$$

where R is a parameter which will be identified later. Note that the above relations reduce to the usual ones if we take the limit $R \to \infty$. From these relations, it is easy to check that the following Jacobi identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x^\mu, \{x^\nu, x^\lambda\}\} + \{x^\lambda, \{x^\mu, x^\nu\}\} + \{x^\nu, \{x^\lambda, x^\mu\}\} &= 0, && (23)\\
\{p^\mu, \{x^\nu, x^\lambda\}\} + \{x^\lambda, \{p^\mu, x^\nu\}\} + \{x^\nu, \{x^\lambda, p^\mu\}\} &= 0, && (24)\\
\{p^\mu, \{p^\nu, x^\lambda\}\} + \{x^\lambda, \{p^\mu, p^\nu\}\} + \{p^\nu, \{x^\lambda, p^\mu\}\} &= 0, && (25)\\
\{p^\mu, \{p^\nu, p^\lambda\}\} + \{p^\lambda, \{p^\mu, p^\nu\}\} + \{p^\nu, \{p^\lambda, p^\mu\}\} &= 0, && (26)
\end{aligned}$$

are satisfied. The angular momentum is defined as

$$J_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu. \tag{27}$$

As in DSR [9], by using the usual Poisson bracket properties, one can show that the transformation of x^λ and p^λ are modified

$$\{J_{\mu\nu}, x_\lambda\} = \eta_{\nu\lambda}x_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda}x_\nu - \frac{x_\lambda}{R}\left(x_\mu\eta_{\nu 0} - x_\nu\eta_{\mu 0}\right), \tag{28}$$

$$\{J_{\mu\nu}, p_\lambda\} = \eta_{\nu\lambda}p_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda}p_\nu + \frac{p_\lambda}{R}\left(x_\mu\eta_{\nu 0} - x_\nu\eta_{\mu 0}\right), \tag{29}$$

but the Lorentz algebra remains unchanged

$$\{J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\lambda\gamma}\} = -\eta_{\mu\gamma}J_{\lambda\nu} + \eta_{\nu\gamma}J_{\lambda\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}J_{\mu\gamma} - \eta_{\mu\lambda}J_{\nu\gamma}. \tag{30}$$

3 Coordinates and momentum transformations

As in Lorentz transformation, we define an infinitesimal transformation of any function $O = O(x^\mu, p^\nu)$ by

$$\delta O = \{-\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}, O\}, \tag{31}$$

where $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ are infinitesimal and antisymmetric transformation parameters. Applying (31) to the coordinates and momentum components and taking into account (28) and (29), we obtain

$$\delta x^{\lambda} = -\omega^{\mu\lambda} x_{\mu} + \frac{1}{R}\omega^{\mu 0} x_{\mu} x^{\lambda}, \tag{32}$$

$$\delta p^{\lambda} = -\omega^{\mu\lambda} p_{\mu} - \frac{1}{R}\omega^{\mu 0} x_{\mu} p^{\lambda}. \tag{33}$$

In what follows, we choose transformations for which the only non vanishing parameter is $\omega^{01} = -\omega^{10} \equiv \delta\phi$. Therefore, by coming back to the conventional notations, we deduce from (32) and (33)

$$\delta t = \left(-\frac{x}{c} + \frac{tx}{R}\right)\delta\phi, \tag{34}$$

$$\delta x = \left(-ct + \frac{x^2}{R}\right)\delta\phi, \tag{35}$$

$$\delta y = \frac{xy}{R}\delta\phi, \tag{36}$$

$$\delta z = \frac{xz}{R}\delta\phi, \tag{37}$$

and

$$\delta E = -\left(cp_x + \frac{xE}{R}\right)\delta\phi, \tag{38}$$

$$\delta p_x = -\left(\frac{E}{c} + \frac{xp_x}{R}\right)\delta\phi, \tag{39}$$

$$\delta p_y = -\frac{xp_y}{R}\delta\phi, \tag{40}$$

$$\delta p_z = -\frac{xp_z}{R}\delta\phi. \tag{41}$$

We indicate here that $x^0 = ct$ and c is a constant with a dimension of a velocity and, as we will see later, it represents in the limit $R \to \infty$ the light speed. By defining

$$\cosh\phi \equiv \gamma, \qquad \sinh\phi \equiv \gamma\frac{u}{c}, \tag{42}$$

where $\gamma = \left(1 - u^2/c^2\right)^{-1/2}$, and following the same procedure developed in [9], we obtain from (34)-(37)

$$t' = \frac{\gamma\left(t - ux/c^2\right)}{\alpha_R}, \qquad x' = \frac{\gamma\left(x - ut\right)}{\alpha_R}, \qquad y' = \frac{y}{\alpha_R}, \qquad z' = \frac{z}{\alpha_R} \tag{43}$$

and from and (38)-(41)

$$E' = \alpha_R\gamma\left(E - up_x\right), \; p'_x = \alpha_R\gamma\left(p_x - uE/c^2\right), \; p'_y = \alpha_R p_y, \; p'_z = \alpha_R p_z, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\alpha_R = 1 + \frac{1}{R}\left[(\gamma - 1)ct - \gamma\frac{ux}{c}\right]. \tag{45}$$

Of course, in the limit $R \to \infty$, (43) and (44) reduce to the Lorentz transformations for the coordinates and the energy-momentum vector.

Relations (43) are the same to those obtained by Fock [1] and other authors in [2], [8] and [12] with others methods except that the parameter R used in the literature is different from the ours by a minus sign. We stress to indicate that it is the ours which is positive. In fact, if we apply the first relation in (43) and take $t = R/c$, we will see that $t' = t$, meaning that R is an invariant, interpreted in the literature as the radius of the visible part of the universe. However, if we use the previous transformation law established in the literature, in order to show that R is an invariant, it is necessary to take $t = -R/c$.

Concerning relations (44), it is the first time that this momentum transformation is established. In [2], the author claimed that the momentum transforms like the usual Lorentz vector. This will imply that the four-dimensional contraction $p_\mu x^\mu = Et - \overrightarrow{p} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}$ is not an invariant, as indicated in [8]. However, if we apply the present coordinate and momentum transformations, (43) and (44), it is easy to show that the contraction $p_\mu x^\mu$ is an invariant. This provide the possibility to describe correctly the plane waves in the context of Fock's nonlinear relativity.

In order to construct the relativistic invariant in this context, let us remark that relation (45) and the first one in (43) allow to write

$$\alpha_R = \left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\left(1 - \frac{ct'}{R}\right)^{-1}. \tag{46}$$

and that from (43) we can show that

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} x'^\mu x'^\nu = \frac{1}{\alpha_R^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu. \tag{47}$$

Therefore, by using (46) in (47), we deduce that the expression

$$I_x \equiv \left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)^{-2} \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu \tag{48}$$

is an invariant. In the same manner, with the use of (44), we obtain

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} p'^\mu p'^\nu = \alpha_R^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} p^\mu p^\nu \tag{49}$$

and by taking into account relation (46), it is easy to show that the following expression

$$I_p \equiv \left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)^{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} p^\mu p^\nu \tag{50}$$

is an invariant. We observe that if we take the limit $R \to \infty$, I_x and I_p reduce to the well-known invariants of special relativity.

4 Momentum and energy

Relations (48) and (50) suggest to define canonical variables

$$X^\mu \equiv \left(1 - \frac{x^0}{R}\right)^{-1} x^\mu, \tag{51}$$

and

$$P^\mu \equiv \left(1 - \frac{x^0}{R}\right) p^\mu \tag{52}$$

in such a way that the invariants I_x and I_p would be expressed as in special relativity

$$I_x = \eta_{\mu\nu} X^\mu X^\nu, \qquad I_p = \eta_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu. \tag{53}$$

Contrary to (52), relation (51) is already known in the literature [2, 12]. It is interesting to observe that with the use of the usual Poisson bracket properties, we can reproduce from (20), (21) and (22) the well-known relations

$$\{X^\mu, X^\nu\} = 0, \tag{54}$$

$$\{X^\mu, P^\nu\} = -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{55}$$

$$\{P^\mu, P^\nu\} = 0, \tag{56}$$

meaning that X^μ and P^μ transform like usual Lorentz vectors. It is easy to show that the inverse transformations of (51) and (52) are

$$x^\mu = \left(1 + \frac{X^0}{R}\right)^{-1} X^\mu, \tag{57}$$

and

$$p^\mu = \left(1 + \frac{X^0}{R}\right) P^\mu. \tag{58}$$

In order to search for the expressions of the energy and momentum, let us derive the expression of the infinitesimal invariant ds. As the canonical variables obey to the laws of special relativity, we have

$$ds^2 = c^2 dT^2 - d\overrightarrow{X}^2, \tag{59}$$

where $cT = X^0$ and $\overrightarrow{X}$ is the three-dimensional position vector in the canonical coordinate space. Using (51) in (59), we can show that

$$ds = \frac{c}{(1 - ct/R)^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\overrightarrow{v} + \frac{c\overrightarrow{x}}{R}\right]^2}\, dt, \tag{60}$$

where $\overrightarrow{x}$ is the three-dimensional position vector and $\overrightarrow{v} \equiv d\overrightarrow{x}/dt$ the velocity. From this expression, we can deduce the speed of light by imposing the condition $ds = 0$. It is clear that it depends on t and $\overrightarrow{x}$ but it goes to c when we take the limit $R \to \infty$.

As in special relativity, with the use of canonical variables, the four-dimensional momentum can be written as

$$P^\mu = mc\frac{dX^\mu}{ds}, \tag{61}$$

where m is the rest mass. Substituting (51) and (52) in (61), we get to

$$p^\mu = \frac{mc}{(1 - ct/R)^2}\frac{dx^\mu}{ds} + \frac{mc^2 x^\mu}{R(1 - ct/R)^3}\frac{dt}{ds}. \tag{62}$$

With the use of (60), we obtain

$$p^{\mu} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\overrightarrow{v} + \frac{c\overrightarrow{x}}{R}\right]^2}}\left[\frac{dx^{\mu}}{dt} + \frac{cx^{\mu}}{R\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)}\right]. \tag{63}$$

It follows that the three-dimensional momentum and the energy are given by

$$\overrightarrow{p} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\overrightarrow{v} + \frac{c\overrightarrow{x}}{R}\right]^2}}\left[\overrightarrow{v} + \frac{c\overrightarrow{x}}{R\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)}\right] \tag{64}$$

and

$$E = cp^0 = \frac{mc^2}{\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2}\left[\left(1 - \frac{ct}{R}\right)\overrightarrow{v} + \frac{c\overrightarrow{x}}{R}\right]^2}} \tag{65}$$

In expression (64), the presence of $\overrightarrow{x}$ in the additive term to the velocity reminds us of the role of the potential vector in the momentum of a charged particle under the action of an electromagnetic fields. As $\overrightarrow{p}$ depends on t and $\overrightarrow{x}$, relation (64) indicates that at large scale in space, with distances of the order of R, the space is not homogeneous and the momentum is not conserved even for free systems. The above expression of the energy indicates also that, at large scale in time, in the order of R/c, the time is not uniform and the energy is not conserved. However, in the limit $R \to \infty$, we recover in (64) and (65) the well-known expressions of special relativity and then the space homogeneity and the time uniformity.

In order to establish the new dispersion relation, let us define a parameter M with a dimension of mass by

$$M^2c^2 \equiv I_p \tag{66}$$

From (50), the particle energy is

$$E = c\left[\frac{M^2c^2}{\left(1 - ct/R\right)^2} + p^2\right]^{1/2}, \tag{67}$$

where $p \equiv |\overrightarrow{p}|$ is the modulus of the three-dimensional momentum. As the canonical variables transform like usual Lorentz vectors, it is reasonable to define the rest mass, m, in terms of these variables by using the definition which works in special relativity [13]

$$\frac{1}{m} = lim_{P\to 0}\frac{c}{P}\frac{\partial P^0}{\partial P}, \tag{68}$$

where $P \equiv |\overrightarrow{P}|$. By using (52), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{1 - ct/R}lim_{p\to 0}\frac{1}{p}\frac{\partial E}{\partial p}, \tag{69}$$

Taking into account expression (67) of the energy, (69) leads to

$$m = M. \tag{70}$$

Thus, the parameter M introduced in (66) represents the rest mass. Finally, by using relation (67), the dispersion relation in Fock's nonlinear relativity is

$$E^2 = \frac{m^2c^4}{(1-ct/R)^2} + p^2c^2. \tag{71}$$

We note that this relation can be confirmed if we use expressions (64) and (65) of $\overrightarrow{p}$ and E. We observe also that in the limit $R \to \infty$, this relation reduces to the one of special relativity.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a new deformed Poisson brackets which allowed to reproduce the Fock coordinate transformation. We then derived a new momentum transformation with which the contraction $p_\mu x^\mu$ becomes an invariant and therefore useful for the description of the plane waves. As in DSR, we also established a transformation with which the new coordinates and momentum, called canonical variables, transform like usual Lorentz vectors. This allowed us to determine the expressions of the energy and momentum in which the vector position $\overrightarrow{x}$ plays an analogous role as the potential vector in the momentum of a charged particle moving under the action of an electromagnetic field. We also derived the dispersion relation in the context of Fock's nonlinear relativity. The presence of t and $\overrightarrow{x}$ in the expressions of the energy and momentum indicate that, at large scale in time and space, the time is not uniform and the space is not homogenous. At small scale, we may take the limit $R \to \infty$ and therefore recover the uniformity of the time, the homogeneity of the space and all the known results of special relativity. We stress to indicate that the parameter R introduced here differs from the one of the literature [1, 2, 8, 12] by a minus sign. We showed here that it is the ours which is positive.

REFERENCES

1. V. Fock, The theory of space, time and gravitation, Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, New York, Paris (1964)
2. S. N. Manida, arXiv e-print: gr-qc/9905046
3. G. Amelino-Camelia and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 036005 (2001), arXiv e-print: astro-ph/0008107
4. G. Amelino Camelia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D11**, 1643 (2002), arXiv e-print: gr-qc/0210063
5. G. Amelino Camelia, Nature **418**, 34 (2002), arXiv e-print: gr-qc/0207049
6. J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 190403 (2002), arXiv e-print: hep-th/0112090
7. J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 044017 (2003), arXiv e-print: gr-qc/0207085

8. D. Kimberly, J. Magueijo and J. Medeiros, Phys. Rev. **D70** 084007 (2004), arXiv e-print: gr-qc/0303067

9. S. Ghosh and P. Pal, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 105021 (2007), arXiv e-print: hep-th/0702159

10. E. Harikumar, arXiv e-print: 1002.3202

11. A. Bouda and A. Belabbas, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 2630 (2010), arXiv e-print:1012.2245

12. S.K. Kim, S.M. Kim, C. Rim and J.H. Yee, J. Korean Physical Society **45**, 1435 (2004), arXiv e-print: gr-qc/0401078

13. J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, Phys. Lett. **B539**, 126 (2002), arXiv e-print: hep-th/0203040